Home Resources Contact Missouri Report Institutional Report Logout

## Missouri's First Year Educator Survey

## **Missouri Western State University Report**

Survey:		Beginning sc	hool year:	Ending scho	ool year:
O Teacher	Teacher's Principal	2021	<b>~</b>	<u>2021</u>	~
O Principal	O Principal's Supervisor				
O Counselor	Counselor's Supervisor				
Show Report	✓ Include Open-Ended Quest	ions			

<sup>\*</sup> Rows are displayed if there is a minimum of 15 respondents. However, actual counts are not displayed.

Question / Standard	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)	Mean	StdDev
Standard 1 - Content Knowledge	e					4.05	0.53
1. The teacher was prepared to incorporate interdisciplinary instruction.	0%	11%	17%	60%	11%	3.71	0.81
2. The teacher was prepared in his or her content area	0%	0%	11%	63%	26%	4.14	0.59
3. The teacher was was prepared to engage students in his or her content area.	0%	0%	11%	60%	29%	4.17	0.61
4. The teacher was prepared to make content meaningful to students.	0%	0%	9%	66%	26%	4.17	0.56
Standard 2 - Learning, Growth,	and Developm	ent				3.73	0.66
5. The teacher was prepared to design lessons that include differentiated instruction.	0%	9%	20%	51%	20%	3.83	0.84
6. The teacher was prepared to implement instruction based on a student's IEP.	0%	9%	26%	51%	14%	3.71	0.81
7. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for English language learners.	0%	6%	46%	37%	11%	3.54	0.77
8. The teacher was prepared to modify instruction for gifted learners.	0%	6%	40%	43%	11%	3.6	0.76
os oseda missouri edu/firstvear/InstitutionRepor	t.aspx						

<ol><li>The teacher was prepared to create lesson plans to engage all learners.</li></ol>	0%	3%	17%	60%	20%	3.97	0.70
Standard 3 - Curriculum Impleme	ntation					3.94	0.58
10. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons based on curriculum standards.	0%	3%	6%	63%	29%	4.17	0.65
11. The teacher was prepared to deliver lessons for diverse learners.	0%	3%	34%	51%	11%	3.71	0.70
Standard 4 - Critical Thinking						3.84	0.73
12. The teacher was prepared to implement a variety of instructional strategies.	0%	6%	14%	60%	20%	3.94	0.75
13. The teacher was prepared to engage students in critical thinking.	0%	6%	26%	51%	17%	3.8	0.79
14. The teacher was prepared to model critical thinking and problem solving.	0%	6%	31%	43%	20%	3.77	0.83
Standard - N/A							
15. The teacher was prepared to use technology to enhance student learning.	0%	0%	6%	71%	23%	4.17	0.51
Standard 5 - Positive Classroom E	nvironmen	t				3.96	0.64
16. The teacher was prepared to create a classroom environment that encourages student engagement.	0%	3%	23%	54%	20%	3.91	0.73
17. The teacher was prepared to use a variety of classroom management strategies.	3%	9%	11%	60%	17%	3.8	0.92
18. The teacher was prepared to manage a variety of discipline issues.	3%	11%	29%	43%	14%	3.54	0.97
19. The teacher was prepared to motivate his or her students to learn.	0%	3%	11%	66%	20%	4.03	0.65
20. The teacher was prepared to keep his or her students on task.	3%	6%	9%	66%	17%	3.89	0.85
21. The teacher was prepared to foster positive student relationships.	0%	0%	0%	51%	49%	4.49	0.50
22. The teacher was prepared to facilitate smooth transitions for his or her students.	0%	9%	0%	69%	23%	4.06	0.75

Standard 6 - Effective Communica	ation					4.09	0.54
23. The teacher was prepared to use effective communication strategies to foster learning.	0%	3%	9%	69%	20%	4.06	0.63
24. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with parents.	0%	6%	11%	57%	26%	4.03	0.77
25. The teacher was prepared to effectively communicate with all staff.	0%	3%	12%	62%	24%	4.06	0.68
26. The teacher was prepared to promote respect for diverse cultures, genders, and intellectual / physical abilities.	0%	0%	17%	54%	29%	4.11	0.67
27. The teacher was prepared to use technology as a communication tool.	0%	0%	9%	57%	34%	4.26	0.60
28. The teacher was prepared to enhance students' skills in using technology as a communication tool.	0%	0%	20%	57%	23%	4.03	0.65
Standard 7 - Student Assessment	and Data A	nalysis				3.73	0.74
29. The teacher was prepared to use assessments to evaluate learning.	0%	3%	26%	54%	17%	3.86	0.72
30. The teacher was prepared to develop assessments to evaluate learning.	0%	9%	31%	43%	17%	3.69	0.85
31. The teacher was prepared to analyze assessment data to improve instruction.	0%	9%	23%	54%	14%	3.74	0.81
32. The teacher was prepared to help students set learning goals based on assessment results.	0%	6%	37%	40%	17%	3.69	0.82
33. The teacher was prepared to work with colleagues to set learning goals using assessment results.	0%	11%	26%	49%	14%	3.66	0.86
Standard 8 - Professionalism						3.81	0.68
34. The teacher was prepared to analyze data to reflect on areas for professional growth.	0%	11%	23%	57%	9%	3.63	0.80
35. The teacher was prepared to reflect on his or her practices for professional growth.	0%	6%	9%	66%	20%	4	0.72
Standard 9 - Professional Collabo	ration					3.99	0.67
36. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with colleagues to	0%	6%	9%	54%	31%	4.11	0.78

support student learning.

37. The teacher was prepared to collaborate with parents to support student learning.	0%	9%	9%	60%	23%	3.97	0.81
38. The teacher was prepared to participate in professional organizations.	0%	6%	14%	% 66%	14%	3.89	0.71
Question / Standard	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Fair (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Mean	StdDev
39. Please click on the response that best reflects your perspective about the overall quality of the professional education program your teacher completed.	0%	0%	17%	63%	20%	4.03	0.61
Question / Standard	Ineffective (1)	Minima Effective		Effective (3)	Highly Effective (4)	Mean	StdDev
39b. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her impact upon students?	0%	14%	,	66%	20%	3.06	0.58
Question / Standard		No (1)			Yes (2	)	
39c. Was the teacher currently teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?		3%			97%		
teaching in the subject area in	Ineffective (1)	3%  Minima Effective		Effective (3)	97%  Highly  Effective (4)	Mean	StdDev
teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?		Minima	(2)		Highly	Mean 3.06	StdDev  0.53
teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the	(1)	Minima Effective 11%	(2)	(3)	Highly Effective (4)	3.06	
teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the	0% Essay Te	Minima Effective 11%	e (2)	71%	Highly Effective (4) 17%	3.06 chool F Year	0.53 Response
teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?  40. What has been the most difference in which was a subject to the expect of the expected level of student growth?	0% Essay Te	Minima Effective 11%	e (2)	71%	Highly Effective (4)  17%  Seed when strivi	3.06 chool F Year	0.53 Response
teaching in the subject area in which he/she was certified?  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?  40. What has been the most differeds of students?	0% Essay Te	Minima Effective 11% xt	ge your	71%	Highly Effective (4)  17%  Seed when strivi	3.06 chool F Year	0.53 Response ID
Question / Standard  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?  40. What has been the most differentiating instruction.	0%  Essay Te	Minima Effective 11% xt	ge your	71%	Highly Effective (4)  17%  sed when strivi	3.06 chool F Year ing to m	0.53 Response ID Reet the
Question / Standard  Question / Standard  39d. Based upon the performance based evaluation of this first year teacher, how would you rate his/her ability to achieve the expected level of student growth?  40. What has been the most differentiating instruction.  Discipline and moving in the classro	0%  Essay Te	Minima Effective 11% xt	ge your	71%	Highly Effective (4)  17%  sed when strivi	3.06 Chool F Year Ing to m 2021	0.53  Response ID  reet the  36895 36958

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
We have some major behaviors that require a lot of support that we as educators are not typically given.	2021	37082
teaching virtually rather than in person	2021	37157
Ongoing changes from in person learning to virtual; asynchronous instruction	2021	37159
classroom discipline and managing problems in her classroom	2021	37206
Dealing with behavioral students.	2021	37225
Being a kindergarten teacher, she ended up with a very difficult class behaviorally. She had a difficult time implementing and maintain support plans for those students. This isn't totally a reflection on her, as this group would be difficult for anyone.	2021	37303
No suggestions.	2021	37381
Students with behavior issues	2021	37398
COVID-19 and COVID-19 Guidelines	2021	37404
classroom management, working with Tier 3 behaviors	2021	37422
managing in person and virtual students at the same time	2021	37552
Classroom management was profoundly difficult.	2021	37581
classroom management	2021	37647
classroom management	2021	37674
A challenge that was unique to this year was managing in-person and virtual learning at the same time.	2021	37730
Small group intervention for dyslexia and progress monitoring.	2021	37875
Classroom management and discipline.	2021	37893
Assessing students and using that data to alter instruction has been a struggle for this teacher	2021	37905
Using Data To Create Lessons that meet ALL learners needs.	2021	37979
Differentiation and using data to drive instruction, especially beyond Tier 1.	2021	38115
adapting to new practices caused by the pandemic - hybrid schedule, virtual learning	2021	38162
Differentiating instruction for a wide variety of students	2021	38395
Student engagement during whole group lessons.	2021	38686
Detailed planning	2021	38760
Essay Text	School Year	Response ID
41. What is the single most important area that teacher preparation programs should	l strength	nen?
Communication with staff and parents.	2021	36895
Curriculum work and knowledge	2021	36958

3/10/22, 10:18 AM

''	0/22, 10.16 AW	i iist real Nepoltei		
	Instructional practices		2021	37040
	differentiated instruction and modifications and accommodat	cions on an IEP and 504	2021	37055
	Meeting the needs of all learners developing lessons that that the standards are mastered.	meet all the needs and ensuring	2021	37063
	Trauma and SPED		2021	37082
	unpacking the standards		2021	37157
	Effective communication, emotional supports training, worki	ng through adversity in workplace	2021	37159
	classroom management		2021	37206
	Classroom management		2021	37225
	Diagnosis of learning targets (why a student is or isn't reach the community and how to self manage.	ing a target), effects of trauma on	2021	37303
	Personalization		2021	37381
	Classroom management/behaviors		2021	37398
	Collaboration and Communication		2021	37404
	classroom management, working with Tier 3 behaviors		2021	37422
	how to manage adults (paraeducators) assigned to the class	room	2021	37552
	PBIS implementation, Standards Based Education, CLASSRO student engagement in the content.	OOM MANAGEMENT through	2021	37581
	curriculum		2021	37647
	differentiated instruction		2021	37674
	The ability to develop assessments that will provide data to	help drive instruction.	2021	37730
	Differentiation and small groups		2021	37875
	Classroom management		2021	37893
	Modifying instruction for all levels/types of learners, as well	as data driven instruction.	2021	37905
	Reaching Diverse Learners		2021	37979
	How to analyze data and determine what interventions to pu	it in place.	2021	38115
	engagement in content/lessons		2021	38162
	Planning for engaging instruction		2021	38395
	how to use various engagement strategies.		2021	38686
	Data Analysis		2021	38760

If you have any problems, questions, or comments about this website, please direct your concerns to: OSEDA Tech Support
Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis
University of Missouri - Columbia
muextosedats@umsystem.edu (573) 882-7396

