

## Rubric for Giving Peer Feedback

**Zero points:** The peer focuses on editing errors/skills as opposed to looking at the structure and content of the argument. There are few comments on each page and end-narrative of feedback to the writer is thin or non-existent.

**Ten points:** The peer may point to editing errors when they are repetitious or distracting, but the primary feedback focuses on ideas, concepts, and structure of the argument. There are thoughtful questions in the margins to push the writer further and a substantial end-narrative to summarize what the feedback is.

**Fifteen points:** The peer asks thought-provoking “why/how” questions to get the writer to push their analysis or argument further. The peer is looking critically at things like whether the claim is clear, whether organization works, and whether supporting paragraphs follow the appropriate structure. The peer gives suggestions about where to go for more information offers helpful feedback in how to make the argument stronger. There are not only complex marginal comments and questions, but the end narrative summarizes what the writer needs to work in a way that is easy to digest.